

Meeting of the Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee held at the Town Hall, Peterborough on Thursday 5 July 2012

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Apologies for Absence		There were no apologies for absence received.
2. Declarations of Interest		There were no declarations of interest.
3. Application		Variation to Premises Licence – Central Park, Park Crescent, Peterborough, PE1 4DX
3.1	Application Reference	MAU 064341
3.2	Sub-Committee Members	Councillor Thacker (Chairman) Councillor Hiller Councillor Jamil
3.3	Officers	Darren Dolby, Regulatory Officer – Licensing Colin Miles, Lawyer – Legal Advisor to the Sub-Committee Gemma George, Senior Governance Officer – Clerk to the Sub-Committee
3.4	Applicant	Enterprise Peterborough
3.5	Nature of Application	Application Type
		Variation to existing premises licence.
		Summary of Variation Application
		Enterprise Peterborough had submitted an application to vary the premises licence for Central Park, Park Crescent, Peterborough, PE1 4DX.
		The application requested the following:
		 i) To remove conditions 12, 17, 19-33, 37-44, 46, 47, 49, 53-59, 62, 65-67, 69-72, 74, 75, 100, 101, 102. ii) To increase the maximum occupancy of the licensed premises at any one time to 14,999. iii) To add the condition ' A fire risk assessment required under the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended) will be completed and be available for inspection by an authorised officer.' iv) To add the condition ' If it is proposed to play music (other than background music) a suitable cut out device, wired in to the fire alarm system, should be installed so that in the event of the fire alarm sounding the music will be automatically shut down. Once installed this cut out relay must be tested on a weekly basis in conjunction with the fire alarm test. v) To add the condition 'An adequate number of suitably qualified first

		 are present. This number should be determined after the completion of an event risk assessment.' vi) To add the condition 'All relevant Health & Safety, Building Control, Planning and any other regulatory authorisations or permissions must be in place and available for inspection by an authorised officer.' vii) To add the condition 'When a licensable event or activity takes place, consideration will be given to suitably qualified security staff and stewards/first aid being employed. The number required will be determined by the Peterborough Safety Advisory Group (SAG) and will be strictly adhered to. Decisions will be based on submission of a comprehensive risk assessment that has been passed as fit for purpose by SAG and will be relevant and proportionate to the event in question.' viii) To add the condition 'The premises licence holder and event organisers are responsible for ensuring that adequate child protection policies are in place for any event involving children and young persons. These policies should be available for inspection by an authorised officer.' No representations had been received from any of the Responsible Authorities in relation to the application. Representations had however been received from a local resident and a local residents group as Interested Parties. A summary of the issues raised included: An increase of noise in the area; Parking issues near the premise; and Health & Safety issues at the premises.
3.6	Licensing Objective(s) under which representations were made	 The Prevention of Crime and Disorder The Prevention of Public Nuisance The Promotion of Public Safety
3.7	Parties/Representatives and witnesses present	Applicant / Applicant's Representative Judith Neal, Enterprise Peterborough. Interested Parties Councillor John Peach, Ward Councillor Councillor John Shearman, Ward Councillor Mr Derek Brown, Broadway Resident's Association Mr Tim Needham, Local Resident
3.8	Pre-hearing considerations and any decisions taken by the Sub-Committee relating to ancillary matters	There were no pre-hearing considerations or decisions taken by the Sub- Committee relating to ancillary matters.
3.9	Oral representations	The Licensing Officer addressed the Sub-Committee and advised that notification had been received from Enterprise Peterborough confirming

		that the application had been withdrawn.
3.10	Written representations and supplementary material taken into consideration	Not applicable.
3.11	Facts/Issues in dispute	Not applicable.
4. Decision		The application had been withdrawn by the Applicant, Enterprise Peterborough, and therefore the Sub-Committee was no longer required to consider the application.

Chairman 10.00am – 10.07am This page is intentionally left blank